



Present: The Worshipful the Mayor (Councillor Eric Silver) The Deputy Mayor (Councillor Chris Mote)

Councillors:

Husain Akhtar Nana Asante David Ashton Mrs Marilyn Ashton Miss Christine A. Bednell Robert Benson Don Billson Mrs Lurline Champagnie John Cowan **Bob Currie** Margaret Davine Mano Dharmarajah Anthony Ferrari Keith Ferry Archie T. Foulds Brian E. Gate David Gawn Mitzi Green Susan Hall

Graham Henson Thaya Idaikkadar Nizam Ismail Krishna James Manji. Kara Mrs E.M. Kinnear Ashok Kulkarni Jean Lammiman Barry Macleod-Cullinane Julia Merison Salim Miah Myra Michael Jerry J. Miles Vina Mithani Mrs Janet Mote Narinder Singh Mudhar Christopher D. Noyce Phillip O'Dell

Asad Omar Paul Osborn Anjana Patel David Perry Raj Rav Richard David Romain Paul Scott Anthony Seymour Navin Shah Mrs Rekha Shah Stanley Sheinwald Bill Stephenson Mrs Sasikala Suresh Yogesh Teli Keekira Thammaiah Mark A. Versallion **Thomas Weiss** Jeremy Zeid

PRAYERS

The meeting opened with Prayers offered by the Rabbi Mendel Lew

393. MINUTES' SILENCE:

The Mayor requested Council to join him in observing a minutes' silence in memory of former Councillors Eric Atherton, Edward Parker and Norman Stillerman, also Mr Alf Colledge (the husband of a former Mayoress) and for Members of Council who had suffered recent bereavements.

394. MEMBER DEVELOPMENT CHARTER:

The Mayor invited the Leader of the Council to speak on the Council's achievement on attaining the Member Development Charter.

The Mayor then, with pleasure, ceremonially presented the Award to Councillors Jean Lammiman, the Portfolio Holder for Community and Cultural Services, and Yogesh Teli, Chairman of the Member Development Panel.

395. COUNCIL MINUTES:

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held on 9 July 2009 be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

396. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST:

The Mayor invited declarations of interest by Members of the Council in respect of the business on the Summons and Supplemental Summons.

 (i) <u>Item 8 – Revised Gambling Policy for Approval</u> Councillor Salim Miah declared a personal interest in that he was a licensee within the borough, but considered that he could still speak and vote thereon.

Councillor Tom Weiss declared a prejudicial interest in the above item, and accordingly took no part in the discussion or voting thereon.

- (ii) <u>Item 12(2) Motions: Freedom Pass Cuts and Item 12(5) Motions:</u> <u>Concessionary Fares Scheme</u> Councillor Eileen Kinnear declared a personal interest as she held a Freedom Pass, but considered that she could still speak and vote thereon.
- (iii) <u>Item 12(3) Motions: Increase In Fares</u> Councillor Brian Gate declared a personal interest as he held an Oyster card, but considered that he could still speak and vote thereon.
- (iv) <u>Item 12(7) Motions: South Harrow Post Office</u> Councillor Graham Henson declared a personal interest as an employee of Post Office Ltd, although he had no involvement with the specific post office in question. Councillor Henson was also a member of the Communication Workers Union but considered that he could still speak and vote thereon.

397. MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS:

The Mayor requested that Council note the engagements he had undertaken and congratulated Councillor Jean Lammiman on being awarded the Freemanship of the City of London.

RESOLVED: That the report of the Worshipful the Mayor, as tabled, be noted and received.

398. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS:

- The Mayor moved a procedural motion to suspend Rule 15.3 for Motion 12(1) (Declaration of Unity) to allow for three proposers and three seconders to the Motion. Upon a vote, the procedural Motion was carried.
- (ii) The Mayor announced that he had received notification in the tabled papers of two Urgent Motions, relating to the South Harrow Post Office and Tony

McNulty MP. It was agreed by general assent to admit the Motions to the business to be transacted at the meeting under the provisions of Rule 15.2.

- (iii) Councillor Bill Stephenson moved a procedural Motion under the general provisions of Rule 15.6 that the Motion included at Item 12(4) (Recession Busting Committee) of the Summons, and indicated to stand referred to the next meeting of Cabinet, as being a matter within its remit, should rather be considered at this Council meeting. Upon a vote, the procedural Motion was not carried.
- (iv) Councillor Paul Osborn moved a procedural Motion that the Motions included at items 12(5) (Concessionary Fares Scheme) and 12(6) (Garden Grabbing) be heard immediately after the Motion included at item 12(1) (Declaration of Unity). Upon a vote, the procedural Motion was carried.

RESOLVED: That

- (1) Rule 15.3 be suspended for the Motion at Item 12(1) (Declaration of Unity), to allow for three proposers and three seconders to the Motion;
- (2) the two Urgent Motions be admitted to the Summons under Rule 15.2 as Items 12(7) and 12(8) respectively;
- (3) the procedural Motion under Rule 15.6, seeking the consideration at this meeting of the Motion at 12(4) of the Summons (Recession Busting Committee), be not agreed;
- (4) the Motions included at Item 12(5) (Concessionary Fares Scheme) and 12(6) (Garden Grabbing) be heard immediately after the Motion included at item 12(1) (Declaration of Unity).

[Note: Councillors Nana Asante, Bob Currie, Margaret Davine, Mano Dharmarajah, Keith Ferry, Archie Foulds, Brian Gate, David Gawn, Mitzi Green, Graham Henson, Thaya Idaikkadar, Nizam Ismail, Krishna James, Jerry Miles, Christopher Noyce, Phillip O'Dell, Asad Omar, David Perry, Raj Ray, Paul Scott, Navin Shah, Rekha Shah, Bill Stephenson, Sasikala Suresh and Keeki Thammaiah wished to be recorded as having voted against the Motion to change the order of business].

399. PETITIONS:

In accordance with Rule 11, the following petitions were presented by Members of Council on behalf of Petitioners:

- (i) Submitted by Councillor Narinder Singh Mudhar containing 16 signatures of residents requesting the permanent closure of one end of Grove Hill Road.
- Submitted by Councillor Jerry Miles containing 116 signatures of residents requesting resurfacing and provision of traffic calming solutions for The Heights – Northolt.

[The Petitions stood referred to the Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel and the Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Environment Services and Community Safety].

- (iii) Submitted by Councillor Paul Osborn containing 1750 signatures of residents requesting that the Council provide free parking for patients attending the Pinn Medical Centre.
- (iv) Submitted by Councillor Brian Gate containing 26 signatures of residents requesting that the Council make urgent repairs to the road and pavements on Chatsworth Gardens.

[The Petitions stood referred to the Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Environment Services and Community Safety].

(v) Submitted by Councillor Graham Henson containing 784 signatures of residents calling on the Council and Gareth Thomas MP to make representations to Post Office Ltd about the re-instatement of a Crown Post Office in the South Harrow Shopping Centre. (vi) Submitted by Councillor Eileen Kinnear containing 147 signatures of residents requesting that the Council protest to Post Office Ltd about the decline in services offered.

[The Petitions stood referred to the Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Strategy, Partnership and Finance].

400. PUBLIC QUESTIONS:

The following questions had been submitted by members of the public in accordance with Rule 12.3:-

QUESTION BY	QUESTION OF	TEXT OF QUESTION
(1) Donna Panton BSc	Councillor Jean Lammiman	"Being an African Caribbean resident of Harrow for the past 4 years, I am very disappointed to hear that the funding has been consistently cut each year for the organisation of Black history events during Black history month. Could you please explain as to why the funding budget for 2009 was cut to zero whereas other cultural events such as Divali, continue to attract funding?"
(2) Jennifer Joseph	Councillors Jean Lammiman and Christine Bednell	"What is your current policy for Black History Month within the Boroughs Schools and community?"
(3) Judy Mark	Councillor Jean Lammiman	"I was rather alarmed to hear about the cuts in funding for Black History Month this year.
		Can you explain the thinking behind reducing the BHM budget from a spend of $\pounds 10\ 000$ in 2005 to $\pounds 5\ 000$ when you took control of Harrow in 2006 to nothing so far this year?
		I look forward to hearing from you on the above."
(4) Ronika Akins	Councillors David Ashton and Jean Lammiman	"Black History month doesn't seem to be acknowledged at all in Harrow.
		Unlike Brent which has many activities and events throughout the month, Harrow's budget has been reduced and reduced until now we're told there is no money put aside at all for Black History month which I think is appalling considering how diverse the community is.
		Since you took control of Harrow in 2006 you have minimised the importance of Black History Month by reducing the BHM budget from £10,000 to £5,000 to zero. Can you please tell me why you thought reducing the budget so considerably was a good move and why you feel that promoting and funding Black History month is not important?"
(5) Simon Jerome	Councillor Jean Lammiman	"Black History is relevant to all as it's the birthplace of history, so I am keen to understand your decision not to fund Black History month events in the borough, whilst increasing funding for events such as Divali?"

(6)	Marika Panton	Councillor David Ashton	"As a mother of 2 young children, living in the borough, I find it extremely disappointing that I have to travel into my neighboring boroughs, Ealing and Brent to find activities that celebrate black history, culture and heritage and that promote community cohesion among the African Caribbean population and other communities. I am shocked and dismayed that even most of the local libraries in Harrow do not even acknowledge BHM.
			Can you please explain what Harrow council's official view on the promotion of Black history month, is this something that they are not interested in and therefore not willing to fund?"
(7)	Mrs E Cherrington	Councillor Jean Lammiman	"Can you tell me why there appears to be such low priority given to black history month within the borough of harrow. With funding being cut year on year. As a resident, Grandmother of a child who attends a harrow school and mother of a practitioner within the education system in harrow I find this quite disturbing?"
(8)	Astra Tertullien	Councillor Jean Lammiman	"I am British of Caribbean descent who has lived in Harrow for over 15 years.
			Please would you explain why Harrow Council has failed to provide funding for Black History Month 2009. It is disappointing that my son's are not able to recognise and celebrate fully the many great black heroes who have paved the way for us as a society within the education system - which needs addressing. But, as a tax payer there does not seem to be a balance of festive celebrations between cultures of diversity - particularly in Harrow."

[Note: Under the provisions of Rule 12.7, the questions were answered orally as a composite response by Councillor Jean Lammiman. Ms Donna Panton asked a supplementary question, which was agreed to be the subject of a written response].

401. LEADER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS:

The Leader of the Council introduced his report highlighting achievements and proposals since the last ordinary meeting.

At the conclusion of his report, the Leader responded to questions from Members of the Council.

RESOLVED: That the report of the Leader of the Council be received and noted.

402. REVISED GAMBLING POLICY FOR APPROVAL:

Further to Item 8 on the Summons, the Council received a Recommendation from the Licensing and General Purposes Committee held on 7 September 2009.

The Recommendation was formally moved by Councillor Lurline Champagnie (Chairman of the Committee).

RESOLVED: That the revised Gambling Policy be approved and adopted.

403. APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT MEMBERS OF THE STANDARDS COMMITTEE:

Further to Item 9 on the Summons, the Council received a Recommendation from the Standards Committee held on 21 September 2009. The Recommendation was formally moved by the Mayor.

RESOLVED: That

- (1) four Independent Members be appointed to serve on the Standards Committee for four years from May 2010, and one reserve Independent Member be appointed to act: (a) in the event that any serving Independent Member resigns or is unable to continue before the expiry of their term of office; (b) as and when appointed by Council;
- (2) it be noted the Standards Committee had appointed a Selection Panel, comprising four Members of Council (two Conservative; one Labour; one Liberal Democrat) for the purposes of recruiting the Independent Members of the Standards Committee from May 2010.

404. LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK CORE STRATEGY - PREFERRED OPTIONS DOCUMENT FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION:

Further to item 10 on the Supplemental Summons, the Council received a Recommendation from Cabinet held on 22 October 2009.

The Recommendation was formally moved by the Leader of the Council (Councillor David Ashton).

RESOLVED: That

- in accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement, the Core Strategy Preferred Option be approved for the purposes of public consultation;
- (2) the Corporate Director, Place Shaping, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Planning, Development and Enterprise, be authorised to make any final amendments to the Core Strategy Preferred Options.

405. QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE:

The following questions had been submitted by a Member of Council under Rule 13.2:-

	QUESTION BY	QUESTION OF	TEXT OF QUESTION
(1)	Councillor Keith Ferry	Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Strategy, Partnership and Finance (Councillor David Ashton)	"Will the Leader of the Council confirm that Edgware Town Football Club played competitive Ryman League football at the White Lane Ground until the end of the 2007- 2008 seasons, with the last match being played in May 2008?
			being played in May 2008?

Will the Leader of the Council explain why, in a letter to the Mayor of London dated 21 May 2009 and the subject of the Edgware Town Football Club (Planning reference P/1941/07), he wrote "The site has therefore remained unused for over three years".

Will the Leader of the Council acknowledge that this misinformation led the Mayor of London to cancel his direction to Harrow Council to refuse planning permission for the site and will he

write to the Mayor of London to correct this mistake?"

publicity, particularly, taking into account the diverse population in Harrow?"

considers relevant?"

- (2) Councillor Leader of the Council "Is the model of Service Delivery and Portfolio Holder for introduced Brian Gate being by the Strategy, Partnership Conservative Administration in Barnet one to be copied in Harrow, and Finance (Councillor David considered to be totally or unsuitable for the needs of Harrow's Ashton) residents?'
- (3) Councillor Graham Henson
 Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Environment Services and Community Safety (Councillor Susan Hall)
 What is the Portfolio Holder doing and what policies is she putting in place to tackle any instances of disability hate crime; what meetings has she had with the police around this issue; what arrangements have been put in place to give this matter
- (4) Councillor Portfolio Holder for "What impact could the vetting and Jeremy Zeid Adults and Housing barring process of the new (Councillor Barry Independent Safeguarding Authority Macleod-Cullinane) have on Adult Services; particularly regarding the recruitment and retention of volunteers and the likely cost implications of this; as well as the cost of registering all required Adult Services employees, and any other factors the Portfolio Holder

[Notes: (i) Under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 13.4, all four questions in were responded to orally by the relevant Portfolio Holders].

(ii) under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 13.5, supplementary questions were asked by Members for Questions 1, 2 and 3 and responded to orally by the relevant Portfolio Holders].

406. MOTION - DECLARATION OF UNITY:

(i) In accordance with Rule 16.1 and at item 12(1) the Council noted the withdrawal of the Motion set out within the Summons and received the amended Motion in the names of Councillors David Ashton, Christopher Noyce, Bill Stephenson, Susan Hall, Phillip O'Dell and Paul Scott in the following terms:

"This Council deplores the holding of an 'anti-Mosque demonstration' on Sept 11, and congratulates the police, all Council staff and the Central Mosque as well as the residents of Harrow for the dignified and controlled way they handled themselves thus ensuring that the 'demonstration' passed off relatively peacefully.

Council, further, expresses its appreciation for the motion passed by Brent Council on September 14 in support of Harrow and its residents as well as its appreciation for the organisation of the Unity Walk and Vigil organised by the Council on October 11.

Council instructs the Chief Executive to make these congratulations and its appreciation widely known to all those concerned.

Council deplores the proposal for a further 'anti-Mosque demonstration' on December 13 which is likely to lead to violence, severe disruption to Harrow residents and very large expense for the Police and the Council.

Council calls on the organisers to cancel this 'demonstration' and, failing this, Council pledges that working together with the Police, the Central Mosque, faith groups and local residents to do everything in its power to minimise any violence and disruption. In particular, Council instructs the Chief Executive to take up as a matter of urgency the offer by the Home Secretary to meet a high-level delegation from Harrow to discuss the proposed demonstration.

This Council agrees to adopt a Declaration of Unity as follows:

We, the people who live and work in Harrow, are united in our commitment to the Borough.

We are proud of Harrow, the diversity and integrity of our people and our common purpose in building a safe, prosperous and healthy Borough.

We cherish our tradition of welcoming visitors and newcomers and our dedication to building stronger communities.

We stand together to reject all those who bring division or hatred within or without Harrow on whatever grounds.

We accept the rights and responsibilities of our commitment to Harrow: to show dignity and respect to all who live and visit here and to reject intolerance in all its forms".

(ii) The substantive Motion was carried unanimously.

RESOLVED: That the substantive Motion, as set out above, be adopted.

407. MOTION - FREEDOM PASS CUTS:

Prior to the moving of this item, Councillor Paul Osborn moved a Motion that the Council proceed to the next item of business. Upon a vote, this Motion was carried.

RESOLVED: That the Council proceed to the next item of business.

408. MOTION - INCREASE IN FARES:

(i) At Item 12(3) the Council received a Motion in the names of Councillors Bill Stephenson and Phillip O'Dell, in the following terms:

"This Council deplores the decision by Boris Johnson, the Mayor of London, to increase bus fares by 12.7% overall and tube fares by 3.9% overall at a time when CPI inflation is 1.1%. Council is dismayed to hear that six more accessibility schemes for tube stations are being abandoned and that on some bus and tube routes passengers will face less frequent services. These increases will wipe out by many times any of the savings of 12p a week made by the zero increase in the GLC precept–based on increases in previous years.

Council notes that the Mayor claims that he can find £5 billion 'efficiency savings' in the Transport for London (TfL) budget, and urges him to concentrate on this rather than increasing fares which hit the most hard-up in the middle of a recession. Council also notes that the Mayor will lose £70 million by halving the size of the congestion charge zone; will lose £50 million potential revenue by dropping proposals to charge the most polluting 4x4 vehicles and will lose £30 million in revenue by the replacement of 'bendy' buses. This money would be far better spent on providing cheap reliable public transport at the same time as improving traffic flow and cutting noxious vehicle emissions.

Council instructs the Chief Executive to convey this motion to the Mayor of London, to the Brent and Harrow London Assembly Member as well as to the Leaders of the Labour, Conservative, Liberal Democrat and Green Groups on the London Assembly".

(ii) Upon a vote, the Motion was carried.

RESOLVED: That the Motion, as set out above, be adopted.

409. MOTION - RECESSION BUSTING COMMITTEE:

RESOLVED: That the Motion at Item 12(4) of the Summons stand referred to the next meeting of Cabinet, as being a matter within the remit of the Executive.

410. MOTION - CONCESSIONARY FARES SCHEME:

(i) In accordance with Rule 16.1 and at Item 12(5) the Council noted the withdrawal of the Motion set out within the Summons and received an amended Motion in the names of Councillors Barry Macleod-Cullinane and Husain Akhtar in the following terms:

"This Council notes that:

- 1. The Government is trying to renege on a three-year funding deal, agreed in April 2008, to help London's boroughs subsidise the costs of off-peak bus travel for disabled and older people and re-direct that money to other parts of the country;
- 2. The financial consequences of this decision by Labour's Transport Minister, Sadiq Khan MP, would be an annual funding loss to London of over £50 million, with Harrow losing upwards of £1.6 million;
- 3. That this loss of funding puts at risk the future of the Freedom Pass much-valued by the capital's older and disabled residents for its 24-hour free travel on bus, Tube and rail in London.

This Council therefore condemns the actions of Labour's Transport Minister, Sadiq Khan MP, in reneging on the three-year funding deal with London's boroughs in year three and attempting to take money from supporting vital services for the elderly and disabled in the capital.

This Council further condemns the actions of Labour's Sadiq Khan for putting the future of the Freedom Pass at risk.

This Council notes that because Sadiq Khan MP is taking these actions as the Transport Minister in the present Labour Government, so his attack on the Freedom Pass must constitute official Labour Party policy – and is something that this Council condemns.

This Council therefore resolves:

- To instruct the Chief Executive to write to Transport Minister, Sadiq Khan MP, to express our opposition to his plans to take over £50 million of funding from elderly and disabled residents across London (including £1.6 million in Harrow);
- To instruct the Chief Executive to similarly write to the Minister for London, Chancellor of the Exchequer, the Equalities Minister and the Shadow Secretary of State for Transport and Shadow Minister for London expressing our concerns about Sadiq Khan's ill-judged actions;
- 3. To instruct the Chief Executive to write to our local MPs asking them whether they support Sadiq Khan's decision, which will hurt Harrow's elderly and disabled residents. And that, if they oppose it, ask them to vow to attend and vote against any and all motions in the House authorising the loss of money from London;
- 4. To instruct the Chief Executive to advise the local and national media of our concerns and to brief those voluntary sector organisations such as Age Concern, Harrow Carers, Harrow Mencap and HAD as to the implications of the decision by Labour Government's Transport Minister, Sadiq Khan, to put the Freedom Pass at risk.
- (ii) Upon a vote, the substantive Motion was carried.

RESOLVED: That the substantive Motion, as set out above, be adopted.

411. MOTION - GARDEN GRABBING:

(i) At Item 12(6) the Council received a Motion in the names of Councillors Marilyn Ashton and Paul Osborn in the following terms:

"This Council is deeply concerned by 'Garden Grabbing' whereby new dwellings are built on residential land, obliterating the gardens and green spaces. This practice has increased significantly over the last decade:

- 1. The percentage of new dwellings built on previously residential land has risen from 11% in 1997, to 27% in 2007. This number represents a rise of 5% on 2006 alone.
- 2. By 2010 there will be 2.2 million homes in the UK without a private garden, compared with 1.6 million in 1995. This number is expected to reach 2.6 million by 2020.
- 3. London is the second-worst affected region; in 2006, 29% of new housing was built on garden-grabbed land. This is up from 13% in 1997.
- 4. Over the next 10 years, Britain will lose an area of garden equivalent in size to 2,755 Wembley pitches to new housing.

This Council believes that the powers available to local authorities are not sufficient, and therefore calls on the Government to amend planning legislation to give a duty to local planning authorities to recognise the value of gardens and urban green spaces to communities, the environment and social sustainability.

This Council proposes an amendment to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990; inserting after section 71A:

'71B Duty as respects gardens and urban green space in exercise of planning functions

In exercise of any function under or by virtue of the planning Acts, the Greater London Authority Act 1999 (c.29) or the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (c.5), special regard shall be had to the desirability of preserving gardens and urban green spaces'.

In section 336, after the definition of 'function', insert

'green space' means –

- (a) land laid out as a public garden;
- (b) land used for the purposes of public recreation; or
- (c) an area of open space which benefits wildlife and biodiversity".

This Council believes that this minor amendment will have a profoundly positive impact on Harrow by ensuring the preservation of more of our gardens and green spaces. It is for this reason that this Council has submitted such a proposal under the Sustainable Communities Act 2007".

(ii) There was an amendment proposed in the names of Councillors Navin Shah and Bill Stephenson, which read as follows:

"This Council is deeply concerned by 'Garden Grabbing' whereby new dwellings are built on residential land, obliterating the gardens and green spaces. The figures below include regeneration projects of estates and conversion of houses to flats but, the development in back gardens has increased significantly over the last decade which Council deplores:

- 1. The percentage of new dwellings built on previously residential land has risen from 11% in 1997, to 27% in 2007. This number represents a rise of 5% on 2006 alone.
- 2. By 2010 there will be 2.2 million homes in the UK without a private garden, compared with 1.6 million in 1995. This number is expected to reach 2.6 million by 2020.

- London is the second-worst affected region; in 2006, 29% of new housing was built on garden-grabbed land. This is up from 13% in 1997.
- 4. Over the next 10 years, Britain will lose an area of garden equivalent in size to 2,755 Wembley pitches to new housing.

This Council believes that the powers available to local authorities are not sufficient, and therefore calls on the Government to amend planning legislation to give a duty to local planning authorities to recognise the value of gardens and urban green spaces to communities, the environment and social sustainability.

This Council proposes an amendment to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990; inserting after section 71A:

'71B Duty as respects gardens and urban green space in exercise of planning functions

In exercise of any function under or by virtue of the planning Acts, the Greater London Authority Act 1999 (c.29) or the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (c.5), special regard shall be had to the desirability of preserving gardens and urban green spaces'.

In section 336, after the definition of 'function', insert

'green space' means -

- (a) land laid out as a public garden;
- (b) land used for the purposes of public recreation; or
- (c) an area of open space which benefits wildlife and biodiversity".

This Council believes that this minor amendment will have a profoundly positive impact on Harrow by ensuring the preservation of more of our gardens and green spaces. It is for this reason that this Council has submitted such a proposal under the Sustainable Communities Act 2007.

The new draft London Plan does not contain any explicit policies against garden grabbing. Back gardens are an integral part of our cherished landscape, making a significant contribution to the quality of life of residents and to biodiversity. The Council instructs the Chief Executive to write to the Mayor of London and to the Brent & Harrow London Assembly Member to seek their support to incorporate policies in the London Plan to protect back gardens from development".

- (iii) During the debate on this item, Councillor Paul Osborn moved a Motion that the question now be put. Upon a vote this Motion was carried.
- (iv) Upon a vote, the amendment at (ii) was lost.
- (v) Upon a further vote the substantive Motion, was agreed.

RESOLVED: That the substantive Motion, as set out at (i) above, be adopted.

412. URGENT MOTION - SOUTH HARROW POST OFFICE:

In accordance with Rule 15.2, the Council received an Urgent Motion in the names of Councillors Jerry Miles and Mark Versallion in the following terms:

"This Council notes with great concern the recent closure of the South Harrow Post Office in Northolt Road, forcing their customers, including elderly or infirm, to travel to either central Harrow or Northolt to access their services.

Council also notes that when this Post Office was franchised, Post Office Ltd stated that it was to protect the ongoing Post Office Services within South Harrow shopping centre.

Council agrees that the Post Office is a vital service for residents and businesses within their area and any closures will have a major impact on the local business community.

Council therefore instructs the Chief Executive to set up an urgent meeting with Post Office Ltd (POL), involving the local ward Councillors, with a view to getting the urgent reinstatement of Post Office services in South Harrow.

Council, further, instructs the Chief Executive to set up follow-up meetings with POL to discuss future Post Office service provision in Harrow, in particular, to ensure the long term protection of current services".

RESOLVED: That the Urgent Motion be adopted.

413. URGENT MOTION - TONY MCNULTY MP:

In accordance with Rule 15.2, the Council received an Urgent Motion in the names of Councillors Paul Osborn and David Ashton in the following terms:

"This Council

- 1. Expresses its disappointment at the conduct of the Rt. Hon. Tony McNulty MP for Harrow East as detailed by the Parliamentary Committee on Standards and Privileges.
- 2. Believes he has let the people of Harrow down.
- 3. Asks that he apologises to the people of Harrow, whom he represents, as well as to Parliament".

RESOLVED: That the Urgent Motion be adopted.

414. DECISIONS TAKEN UNDER THE URGENCY PROCEDURE BY PORTFOLIO HOLDERS, LEADER AND CABINET & USE OF SPECIAL URGENCY PROCEDURE:

Further to Item 13 on the Summons, the meeting received a report of the Director of Legal and Governance Services providing a summary of the urgent decisions taken by Portfolio Holders, the Leader and Deputy Leader, and the use of the special urgency procedure, since the last ordinary meeting of Council on 9 July 2009.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

415. DECISIONS ON URGENT MATTERS RESERVED TO COUNCIL:

The Director of Legal and Governance Services advised of three urgent decisions taken in respect of matters reserved to Council, following consultation with the Leaders of each of the Political Groups, since the last meeting.

RESOLVED: That the decisions taken under delegation by the Director of Legal and Governance Services, on behalf of Council, be noted.

416. PROCEDURE FOR TERMINATION OF MEETING:

- (i) At 10.30 pm, prior to the consideration of Item 12(3) (Motions: Increase in Fares), the Mayor advised that the 'guillotine' procedure had come into operation for the determination of the remaining business on the Summons.
- (ii) This was applied to Items 12(3) (Motion: Increase in Fares), 12(4) (Motion: Recession Busting Committee), 12(7) (Urgent Motion: South Harrow Post Office), 12(8) (Motion: Tony McNulty MP), 13 (Decisions Taken Under the Urgency Procedure by Portfolio Holders, Leader and Cabinet and Use of Special Urgency Procedure) and 14 (Decisions on Urgent Matters Reserved to Council).

RESOLVED: That it be noted the provisions of Rule 10.3 were applied as set out above.

(CLOSE OF MEETING: All business having been completed, the Mayor declared the meeting closed at 10.31 pm).